

Instantiation for Theory Reasoning in Vampire

Giles Reger Martin Riener



1 initial
$$14x \neq x^2 + 49 \vee p(x)$$



1 initial
$$14x \neq x^2 + 49 \lor p(x)$$

2 axiom $x = (x - 1) + 1$



```
1 initial 14x \neq x^2 + 49 \lor p(x)
2 axiom x = (x - 1) + 1
3 1 + 2 14 \cdot x \neq ((x - 1) + 1) \cdot x + 49 \lor p(x)
```



```
1 initial 14x \neq x^2 + 49 \lor p(x)

2 axiom x = (x-1) + 1

3 1+2 14 \cdot x \neq ((x-1)+1) \cdot x + 49 \lor p(x)

4 axiom (x+1) \cdot y = x \cdot y + y
```



```
initial 14x \neq x^2 + 49 \lor p(x)

axiom x = (x-1) + 1

1+2 14 \cdot x \neq ((x-1)+1) \cdot x + 49 \lor p(x)

axiom (x+1) \cdot y = x \cdot y + y

3+4 14 \cdot x \neq ((x-1)*x+x) + 49 \lor p(x)
```

. .





Suppose we guess x = 7:



Suppose we guess x = 7:

$$14 \cdot 7 \neq 7^2 + 49 \vee p(7)$$



Suppose we guess x=7:

$$14 \cdot 7 \neq 7^2 + 49 \lor p(7)$$

$$\cite{5}$$
 evaluate
$$98 \neq 98 \lor p(7)$$



Suppose we guess x = 7:



- Find instance that makes theory part of a clause false
- Substitute and delete theory part
- Rule

$$\frac{P \vee D}{D\theta}$$
 theory instance

- P pure (all constant symbols have a fixed interpretation)
- $P\theta$ unsatisfiable in the theory



• Why pure?



• Why pure?

 \Rightarrow We pass $\neg P$ to an SMT solver!



- Why pure?
 - \Rightarrow We pass $\neg P$ to an SMT solver!
- $\neg P$ has a model: construct θ from model
 - $14x = x^2 + 49$ has a model for x = 7
 - $\theta = \{x \mapsto 7\}$



- Why pure?
 - \Rightarrow We pass $\neg P$ to an SMT solver!
- $\neg P$ has a model: construct θ from model
 - $14x = x^2 + 49$ has a model for x = 7
 - $\theta = \{x \mapsto 7\}$
- Model construction needs purity (for now)



Abstraction

• Suppose we want to resolve

$$\neg r(x^2 + 49) \lor p(x)$$

 \Rightarrow No pure literals



Abstraction

• Suppose we want to resolve

$$\neg r(x^2 + 49) \lor p(x)$$

- \Rightarrow No pure literals
- Abstract to

$$z \neq 14y \vee r(z)$$

$$u \neq x^2 + 49 \lor \neg r(u) \lor p(x)$$



Problems with Abstraction

• Eager application too expensive, fold into unification



Problems with Abstraction

- Eager application too expensive, fold into unification
- Instantiation undoes abstraction:

$$p(1,5)$$

$$\begin{tabular}{ll} $p(1,5)$ & abstract \\ $x \neq 1 \lor y \neq 5 \lor p(x,y)$ \\ & \begin{tabular}{ll} ξ & instantiate \\ $p(1,5)$ & \\ \end{tabular}$$



Trivial Literals

- Form: $x \neq t$ (x not in t)
- Pure
- *x* only occurs in other trivial literals or other non-pure literals



Updated Rule

$$\frac{P \vee D}{D\theta}$$
 theory instance

- $P\theta$ unsatisfiable in the theory
- P pure
- P does not contain trivial literals



Improvements to Vampire

SM	Ι <i>Τ-Ι</i>	1 IF

Logic	New solutions	Uniquely solved
ALIA	1	0
LIA	14	0
LRA	4	0
UFDTLIA	5	0
UFLIA	28	14
UFNIA	13	4

TPTP

Category	New solutions	Uniquely solved
ARI	13	0
NUM	1	1
SWW	3	1



Future Work

- What about multiple solutions?
- What about inequalities (9 < x^2 vs. 9 $\neq x^2$)
- What about uninterpreted symbols?

Vampire at https://github.com/vprover/vampire

Thanks!